A high-performance CNN method for offline handwritten Chinese character recognition and visualization

Pavlo Melnyk, Zhiqiang You & Keqin Li

Soft Computing A Fusion of Foundations, Methodologies and Applications

ISSN 1432-7643 Volume 24 Number 11

Soft Comput (2020) 24:7977-7987 DOI 10.1007/s00500-019-04083-3



Your article is protected by copyright and all rights are held exclusively by Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature. This e-offprint is for personal use only and shall not be self-archived in electronic repositories. If you wish to self-archive your article, please use the accepted manuscript version for posting on your own website. You may further deposit the accepted manuscript version in any repository, provided it is only made publicly available 12 months after official publication or later and provided acknowledgement is given to the original source of publication and a link is inserted to the published article on Springer's website. The link must be accompanied by the following text: "The final publication is available at link.springer.com".



FOCUS



A high-performance CNN method for offline handwritten Chinese character recognition and visualization

Pavlo Melnyk^{1,2} · Zhiqiang You^{1,2} · Keqin Li^{2,3}

Published online: 30 May 2019 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2019

Abstract

Recent researches introduced fast, compact and efficient convolutional neural networks (CNNs) for offline handwritten Chinese character recognition (HCCR). However, many of them did not address the problem of network interpretability. We propose a new architecture of a deep CNN with high recognition performance which is capable of learning deep features for visualization. A special characteristic of our model is the bottleneck layers which enable us to retain its expressiveness while reducing the number of multiply-accumulate operations and the required storage. We introduce a modification of global weighted average pooling (GWAP)—global weighted output average pooling (GWOAP). This paper demonstrates how they allow us to calculate class activation maps (CAMs) in order to indicate the most relevant input character image regions used by our CNN to identify a certain class. Evaluating on the ICDAR-2013 offline HCCR competition dataset, we show that our model enables a relative 0.83% error reduction while having 49% fewer parameters and the same computational cost compared to the current state-of-the-art single-network method trained only on handwritten data. Our solution outperforms even recent residual learning approaches.

Keywords Handwritten Chinese character recognition \cdot Convolutional neural network \cdot Global average pooling \cdot Class activation maps

1 Introduction

Nowadays, advances in intelligent systems are noticeable in many areas of computer science, e.g., deep learning (Silver et al. 2016), soft computing (Al-Janabi and Alkaim 2019; Arqub et al. 2017, 2016), recommender systems (Al-Janabi

Communicated by Mu-Yen Chen.

 Zhiqiang You you@hnu.edu.cn
 Pavlo Melnyk pavlomelnyk@hnu.edu.cn

> Keqin Li lik@newpaltz.edu

- ¹ Key Laboratory for Embedded and Network Computing of Hunan Province, Hunan University, Changsha 410082, People's Republic of China
- ² College of Computer Science and Electronic Engineering, Hunan University, Changsha 410082, People's Republic of China
- ³ Department of Computer Science, State University of New York at New Paltz, New Paltz, NY 12561, USA

et al. 2018a; Saravanan et al. 2019), data analysis systems (Al-Janabi and Abaid Mahdi 2019; Al-Janabi et al. 2018b; Al-Janabi 2018; Kenan Kalajdzic et al. 2015; Ali 2012).

With the rapid development of deep learning technologies, many tasks regarding pattern recognition have obtained considerable improvements. The tasks vary significantly from object detection and image generation to spinning articles and generating poetry. The problem of text recognition is also a good example of learning discriminative representations performed by deep learning algorithms.

Text recognition at the character level can be divided into printed and handwritten character recognition. Automatic recognition of medical forms and processing of other types of files, such as administrative, postal mail sorting automation and bank checks identification, are all examples of applications for handwritten character recognition which may further be either offline or online.

In this regard, the problem of offline handwritten Chinese character recognition (HCCR) (Liu et al. 2013; Li et al. 2016) having been studied for more than half a century is of particular interest. Offline HCCR involves analysis and classification of isolated handwritten Chinese character



Fig. 1 A high-performance method for offline HCCR and visualization by means of CAMs

images. Earlier successful methods for offline HCCR, such as modified quadratic discriminant functions (MDQF) (Kimura et al. 1987), were effectively and significantly outperformed by convolutional neural network (CNN) approaches. Noteworthy, these days some hybrid methods, such as those utilizing adversarial feature learning (Zhang et al. 2018) or an attention-based recurrent neural network (RNN) for iterative refinement of the predictions (Yang et al. 2017), seem to be the next effective substitution for the traditional CNN solutions (Cireşan et al. 2012; Yin et al. 2013; Zhong et al. 2015; Zhang et al. 2017).

However, in our study, we create a method based on a pure CNN architecture, with high recognition performance while keeping in mind its size and computational cost. Notwithstanding that different data augmentation as well as feature handcrafting and spatial-transforming techniques were successfully utilized for offline HCCR, we refrain from using such in order to focus our work mainly on finding optimal hyperparameters for training only on the raw handwritten input data. The reasons why we choose the approach with deep neural networks are their high recognition performance for large-scale classification tasks, the availability of end-to-end training, and the ongoing research on their improvements.

One of the main reasons causing shortcomings of the CNNs is the network interpretability (Qin et al. 2018). This question is especially interesting for us in terms of such a large-scale classification problem as offline HCCR. In this domain, both low-level visual features, such as small strokes, and their high-level structural concatenations are important for making correct predictions (Yang et al. 2017).

In order to address this issue, we adopt the knowledge of class activation maps (CAMs) (Zhou et al. 2016). We demonstrate how it improves the network interpretability by performing a visualization of the most relevant character parts learned by it. Unlike the visualization of the network layer outputs as was done in the context of offline HCCR by Zhang (2015), exploiting CAMs allows understanding the process from the beginning to the end.

The main contributions of our work are summarized in Fig. 1: (1) We propose a CNN model for offline HCCR, which achieves state-of-the-art accuracy for single-network methods trained only on handwritten data; (2) we employ modified versions of global average pooling (GAP)—global weighted average pooling (GWAP) and the introduced global weighted output average pooling (GWOAP) to obtain high performance and accomplish the visualization.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Sect. 2 reviews related research; Sect. 3 describes the proposed architecture and its effectiveness, introduces our modification of GWAP—GWOAP, and also details how CAMs can be computed when a network is equipped with either; Sect. 4 shares the implementation details and the results of our experiments including a comparison with other methods; and Sect. 5 summarizes our work.

2 Related work

2.1 Offline HCCR

The reasons why HCCR is a non-trivial problem can be mainly formulated as follows:

- (1) writing variations;
- (2) wide-scale vocabulary—the number of character classes ranges from 6763 to 70244 in GB2312-80 and GB18010-2005 standards, respectively;
- (3) similarities between Chinese characters.

Nowadays, achievements in deep learning enable researchers to successfully utilize CNNs in the HCCR domain (Zhong et al. 2015; Cheng et al. 2016; Zhong et al. 2016; Xiao et al. 2017; Li et al. 2018), greatly outperforming MDQF methods (Kimura et al. 1987; Lu et al. 2015). Such a CNN was first

applied to this problem by Cireşan et al. (2012). Their single multi-column deep neural network (MCDNN) achieves a 94.47% accuracy.

Later, works were evaluated on the ICDAR-2013 competition (Yin et al. 2013) dataset containing 3755 character classes, which corresponds to the key official character set GB2312-80 level-1.

There is a very noticeable trend in the offline HCCR competition: the better deep CNNs perform, the more different aspects researchers consider for their models. For instance, the Fujitsu research team created a CNN-based method and took the winner place in the ICDAR-2013 competition with an accuracy of 94.77% (Yin et al. 2013) while requiring as much as 2460 MB for storage.

The first model that outperformed human-level performance was introduced by Zhong et al. (2015), which incorporated traditional directional feature maps. Therefore, their single HCCR-Gabor-GoogLeNet and ensemble HCCR-Ensemble-GoogLeNet-10 models achieve a recognition accuracy of 96.35% and 96.74% and have a size of 27.77 MB and 270.0 MB, respectively.

Cheng et al. (2016) showed how the combination of the character classification and similarity ranking supervisory signals increases inter-class variations and reduces intra-class variations. Their single deep CNN achieves a 97.07% accuracy taking 36.80 MB for storage. The ensemble of four such networks has a better performance of 97.64%.

Zhong et al. (2016) introduced a network composed of two parts: a spatial transformer network to rectify the input image and a deep residual network to predict the label distribution for the rectified image, which resulted in an accuracy of 97.37% with a 92.30 MB storage required.

Zhang et al. (2017) used the traditional normalizationcooperated direction-decomposed feature map (DirectMap) along with the deep CNNs to obtain an accuracy of 96.95%, further improved to 97.37% by introducing adaptation layer aimed at reducing the mismatch between training and test samples on a particular source layer. Both models have a size of 23.50 MB. It takes 1.997 ms to calculate DirectMap and 296.894 ms to perform a forward pass of a deep CNN for processing a character image on a CPU.

A method using residual blocks (He et al. 2016) and iterative model prediction refinement by means of an attentionbased RNN is a hybrid approach proposed by Yang et al. (2017). They achieved an accuracy of 97.37%, outperforming previous methods that used raw input data.

A fast and compact CNN was developed by Xiao et al. (2017) with a speed of 9.7 ms/char on a CPU but only 2.3 MB of storage needed and an accuracy of 97.09%. That was enabled by employing global supervised low-rank expansion (GLSRE) and adaptive drop-weight techniques (ADW). In their experiments, one of the baseline models with a size of 48.7 MB yielded a state-of-the-art accuracy of 97.59%, con-

sidering single-network methods trained only on handwritten data.

Another well-balanced network in terms of the speed, size, and performance was recently introduced by Li et al. (2018). Their cascaded single-CNN model takes only 6.93 ms to classify a character image on a CPU and achieves an accuracy of 97.11% requiring only 3.3 MB for storage. They accomplished this by utilizing fire modules and the proposed GWAP concept along with quantization.

One of the newest methods reported by Zhang et al. (2018) introduced adversarial feature learning (AFL), which significantly outperforms traditional deep CNN approaches by exploiting writer-independent semantic features with the prior knowledge of standard printed characters, resulting in a 98.29% test set accuracy and an 18.2 MB model size.

A new family of DNNs, namely ordinary differential equations (ODEs), were recently proposed by Chen et al. (2018). They can successfully be used as supervised learning and time-series models. This could very well be a prospective improvement for the existent HCCR methods. However, at the time of writing, such approaches were not introduced.

2.2 Class activation maps

Zhou et al. (2016) presented a method of generating CAMs showing how GAP proposed by Lin et al. (2013) enables a CNN trained for the object recognition task to perform object localization. This technique allows indicating the most important for classification regions of an input image. The main idea behind lies in basic knowledge of a CNN structure: As we move deeper, the height and width of feature maps shrink, while the number of channels increases.

GAP used instead of the traditional fully connected layer at the end of the network produces the spatial average of every channel of the preceding convolution layer output. Later, the weighted sum of these values is used in order to generate final output—and perform a logistic regression. Remarkably, it is easily interpretable: One can think of a feature going into the logistic regression as a value indicating whether or not something important for classification appears in the image.

Similarly, a CAM is a weighted sum of the GAP input (the last convolution layer output), i.e., if we were to look at the image before the spatial averaging, we would know where exactly a distinctive region was. It is worth mentioning that we consider only one class when producing a CAM—the predicted class.

Let the output of the last convolution layer be a 3-D tensor $\mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times C}$, the output of the GAP be a vector $\mathbf{f}_{out} \in \mathbb{R}^{C}$, and the logistic regression weight matrix be $\mathbf{W}_{out} \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times K}$. In order to calculate the activation map, all one needs to do is weigh the importance of each $H \times W$ feature of \mathbf{F} by multiplying them by the corresponding elements of the column of \mathbf{W}_{out} that connects \mathbf{f}_{out} to the predicted class output:

Table 1 The three networks proposed for offline HCCR

Layer name	Model A	Model B	Model C	Output shape
Input		96×96 grayscale image		$96 \times 96 \times 1$
Conv1		3×3 conv. 64, BN, ReLU		$96 \times 96 \times 64$
Conv2		3×3 conv. 64, BN, ReLU		$96 \times 96 \times 64$
AvgPool		3×3 avg-pool stride 2		$48 \times 48 \times 64$
Conv-block1		3×3 conv. 96, BN, ReLU		$48 \times 48 \times 96$
		3×3 conv. 64, BN, ReLU		$48 \times 48 \times 64$
		3×3 conv. 96, BN, ReLU		$48 \times 48 \times 96$
AvgPool		3×3 avg-pool stride 2		$24 \times 24 \times 96$
Conv-block2		3×3 conv. 128, BN, ReLU		$24 \times 24 \times 128$
		3×3 conv. 96, BN, ReLU		$24 \times 24 \times 96$
		3×3 conv. 128, BN, ReLU		$24 \times 24 \times 128$
AvgPool		3×3 avg-pool stride 2		$12 \times 12 \times 128$
Conv-block3		3×3 conv. 256, BN, ReLU		$12 \times 12 \times 256$
		3×3 conv. 192, BN, ReLU		$12 \times 12 \times 192$
		3×3 conv. 256, BN, ReLU		$12 \times 12 \times 256$
AvgPool		3×3 avg-pool stride 2		$6 \times 6 \times 256$
Conv-block4		3×3 conv. 448, BN, ReLU		$6 \times 6 \times 448$
		3×3 conv. 256, BN, ReLU		$6 \times 6 \times 256$
		3×3 conv. 448, BN, ReLU		$6 \times 6 \times 448$
GAP/ GWOAP/ GWAP	Global avg-pool over 6×6 spatial dims	Global weighted output avg-pool over 6 × 6 spatial dims	Global weighted avg-pool over 6×6 spatial dims	448
Output		3755-way Softmax		3755

)

$$\mathbf{CAM} = \sum_{i=1}^{C} w_{\text{out}_{i}}^{k'} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{i}, \qquad (1)$$

where

H, *W*, *C* height, width and number of channels of the feature map, *K* total number of classes,

k' the predicted class.

One can notice that (1) is a dot-product between the k'th weight vector of the matrix \mathbf{W}_{out} and the last conv-layer output feature map \mathbf{F} . We can simply zoom the obtained CAM to the size of the input image and thus identify the image regions most relevant to the certain category.

Notably, this strategy for visualization is different from the one exploited by Yang et al. (2017). In their multi-scale residual block cascade, they introduced shortcut connections that aggregate "lower" and "higher" layer activations with different heights and widths but the same number of channels and defined an aggregation operation as the union of feature vectors. The obtained in this way learned visual representation was proposed to be fed into the iterative refinement module to improve the classification performance.

3 Method description

3.1 Proposed architecture

Being inspired by the performance gain enabled by utilizing the modification of GAP—GWAP proposed by Li et al. (2018), we employ it and compare its performance with GAP and our modification—GWOAP. Thus, we could see how good a single deep CNN without residual connections can perform for the offline HCCR task and visualize the most distinctive regions of an input character image. The corresponding networks are further referred to as Model A, Model B and Model C as shown in Table 1. The description of GWAP and the proposed GWOAP is presented in Sect. 3.3.

Similar to the state-of-the-art method (Xiao et al. 2017), we resize input images to 96 \times 96, as smaller samples result in a poorer accuracy, while bigger, in an expensive computational cost. Every conv-layer in our network has kernels of a 3×3 size, a stride parameter of 2, and retains spatial dimensionality of input—performs the "same" mode of padding. Batch normalization (BN) (Ioffe and Szegedy 2015) is a technique that was used as a default choice by many researchers over the past few years and has been proven to be effective for offline HCCR (Xiao et al. 2017). Therefore, we equip



Fig. 2 Baseline architecture of the proposed network

every conv-layer with a BN-layer followed by a rectified linear unit (ReLU). Importantly, we do not use biases for the conv-layers, because it is redundant due to the presence of the second location parameters β in BN-layers.

The proposed baseline architecture (Model A) is presented in Fig. 2. It consists of 15 layers, counting only convolutional and fully connected ones. We use average pooling layers with 3×3 windows and a stride parameter of 2. The easiest way to describe our model is in terms of convolutional blocks groups of three convolutional layers with a *bottleneck* in the middle. The hyperparameters are shared between the three conv-layers, except for the number of kernels in the bottleneck. We discuss the effectiveness of such conv-blocks in the next subsection.

The first two conv-layers in our model are followed by a pooling layer, and then by 4 conv-blocks separated by pooling layers. Final conv-block produces a feature map of a $6 \times 6 \times 448$ size. Later, it is fed into GAP which outputs a vector of a 448 length. It is further connected to a 3755-softmax output, where the number of units corresponds to the number of character classes considered in this work.

Remarkably, smaller sizes of the last conv-layer output result in more blurry CAMs, as we need to upsample the maps to the size of the input. Through experiments, we observe that 6×6 output feature maps and 96×96 input images represent a well-balanced trade-off between the model performance and the visual clarity of the obtained CAMs.

3.2 Effectiveness of the convolutional block bottleneck

The effectiveness of bottleneck layers in the proposed model for offline HCCR is proven empirically: They allow the network to retain its expressiveness while reducing the number of multiply-accumulate operations and the required storage.

Considering a single conv-layer, let H and W be the size of the input feature map, C be the number of channels per input feature map, R and Q be the size of the kernel of the conv-layer, M, P, S be the number of kernels, the size of zero-padding and the stride, respectively. Then the number of multiply-accumulations (MAC) N_{MAC} for the conv-layer can be calculated as follows:

$$N_{MAC} = \frac{H + 2P - R + S}{S} \cdot \frac{W + 2P - Q + S}{S}$$

$$\cdot R \cdot Q \cdot C \cdot M.$$
(2)

Assuming that all conv-layers retain the dimensionality of input $(H \times W)$, the total number of MAC in this conv-block can be found in accordance with (2) as:

$$N_{MAC_CB} = \frac{H+2P-R+S}{S} \cdot \frac{W+2P-Q+S}{S}$$
$$\cdot R \cdot Q \cdot C \cdot M$$
$$+2 \cdot \frac{H+2P-R+S}{S} \cdot \frac{W+2P-Q+S}{S}$$
$$\cdot R \cdot Q \cdot M \cdot M.$$
(3)

Let the middle layer of the conv-block be a bottleneck that outputs a $H \times W \times M_B$ volume. Then the number of MAC in such a conv-block can be calculated as:

$$N_{MAC_CB^*} = \frac{H+2P-R+S}{S} \cdot \frac{W+2P-Q+S}{S}$$
$$\cdot R \cdot Q \cdot C \cdot M$$
$$+2 \cdot \frac{H+2P-R+S}{S} \cdot \frac{W+2P-Q+S}{S}$$
$$\cdot R \cdot Q \cdot M_B \cdot M.$$
(4)

Thus, the reduction in computation as well as in storage can be found as:

$$\frac{N_{MAC_CB}}{N_{MAC_CB^*}} = \frac{C+2M}{C+2M_B}.$$
(5)

On the other hand, utilizing bottlenecks can be viewed as a compression–decompression operation, which is kind of regularization itself.

3.3 Obtaining class activation maps with GWAP and GWOAP

GAP (Lin et al. 2013) performs spatial averaging, i.e.,

$$GAP(\mathbf{F}) = \frac{1}{HW} \sum_{i=1}^{H} \sum_{j=1}^{W} f_{ijm}, \quad 1 \le m \le C.$$
 (6)

Its modification, GWAP (Li et al. 2018), can be simply expressed as:

$$GWAP(\mathbf{F}) = \sum_{i=1}^{H} \sum_{j=1}^{W} w_{ijm}^{GWAP} \cdot f_{ijm}, \quad 1 \le m \le C. \quad (7)$$

The proposed modification of GAP is defined as:

$$GWOAP(\mathbf{F}) = \sum_{i=1}^{H} \sum_{j=1}^{W} w_m^{GWOAP} \cdot f_{ijm}, \ 1 \le m \le C,$$
(8)

where

$$\mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times C}$$
input feature map, $\mathbf{W}^{GWAP} \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times C}$ 3-D trainable kernel of GWAP, $\mathbf{w}^{GWOAP} \in \mathbb{R}^{C}$ 1-D trainable kernel of GWOAP

The difference between the two modifications is the number of parameters: GWOAP scales the output of spatial summation, rather than its input, which is more in the "convolutional" manner, i.e., sharing learnable scaling parameters channel-wise rather than shape-wise. It also can be seen as regularization. The process of obtaining CAMs for the network equipped with either GWAP or GWOAP is different from the one for the network equipped with GAP (1) only by one additional operation (9). The output of the last conv-layer **F** is to be scaled by the trainable kernel—either \mathbf{W}^{GWAP} or \mathbf{w}^{GWOAP} :

$$\mathbf{F}^* = \begin{cases} \mathbf{W}^{GWAP} \odot \mathbf{F} \\ \mathbf{W}^{GWOAP} \odot \mathbf{F} \end{cases},\tag{9}$$

$$\mathbf{CAM} = \sum_{i=1}^{C} w_{\text{out}_i}^{k'} \cdot \mathbf{F}_i^*, \tag{10}$$

where

$$\mathbf{W}^{GWOAP}$$
upsampled 3-D version of \mathbf{w}^{GWOAP} for performing a valid element-wise multiplication
 \odot , \mathbf{F}^* 3-D scaled feature map, \mathbf{CAM} obtained 2-D class activation map.

The calculation of CAMs is described in Algorithm 1. We compare how well both modifications of GAP perform in offline HCCR competition and discuss the CAMs produced by means of the proposed models for different character images in Sect. 4.4.

Algorithm 1: Class activation mapping for offline HCCR with the proposed models

```
input
        : A character Im of size 96 \times 96
output
        : A class activation map Im of size 96 \times 96
Step 1
character_class = model.predict(input)
// perform a forward pass through the
   model and get the prediction
Step 2
fmaps = model.get_output(last_conv_layer, input)
// get the output of the last conv-layer
Step 3
if \overline{GWOAP} or \overline{GWAP} then
    fmaps = fmaps \odot W^{GWOAP/GWAP}
    // scale feature maps with the
       GWOAP/GWAP kernel
end
Step 4
W_{\text{out}} = model.output\_layer.get\_weights()
w_{\text{out}} = W_{\text{out}}[:, character\_class]
// get the last layer weights connecting
   GAP/GWOAP/GWAP output to the predicted
    class
Step 5
CAM = fmaps.dot(w_{out})
CAM = upsample(CAM, size = (96, 96),
            interpolation = "bilinear")
// compute CAM and upsample it to the size
   of input using bilinear interpolation
return CAM
```

4 Experiments

In this section, we share the implementation details and demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed models not only in terms of recognition performance, but also from the visualization perspective.

4.1 Datasets

In order to train the proposed networks, we use CASIA-HWDB1.0-1.1 (Liu et al. 2011) datasets collected by National Laboratory of Pattern Recognition (NLPR) and Institute of Automation of Chinese Academy of Sciences (CASIA), written by 420 and 300 persons, respectively.

The overall training dataset contains 2,678,424 samples belonging to 3755 different character classes. We evaluate our models on the most common benchmark for offline HCCR—the ICDAR-2013 competition dataset (Yin et al. 2013), containing 224,419 samples written by 60 persons.

It is worth mentioning that we do not use the test set as validation data for finding hyperparameters. The validation set of a 60,000 samples size is randomly selected from the training data. After finding optimal settings for our models,

we merge validation and training sets and conduct further experiments.

We use raw images: The only data preprocessing we make is normalization to 96×96 size and inversion of the pixel intensity.

4.2 Training strategy

First, we shuffle the training data. The parameters of all convlayers are set with the He-normal initialization (He et al. 2015). The classification layer weights are randomly initialized by drawing from a Gaussian distribution with the standard deviation of 0.001, while the bias term is initially set to 0. The parameters of GWAP and GWOAP are initialized with 1.

We use stochastic gradient descent (SGD) with the momentum term of 0.9 for training, which is a common choice for pretty much every CNN proposed for this competition over the past few years. The mini-batch size is set to be 256, and the maximum number of epochs is 40.

Exploiting batch normalization allows us to choose a higher learning rate value. Initially, we set the learning rate to 0.1 and train our models for one epoch. Then we decrease it by a factor of 10 and keep decreasing after every epoch when training accuracy stops improving.

To deal with overfitting for all models in our work, we use L2-regularization with the multiplier equal to 0.001, and a dropout (Srivastava et al. 2014) before the softmax layer, where the probability of dropping, p_{drop} , is set to 0.5. Also, we do not use any data augmentation method for generating distorted images during training.

We implement the proposed CNNs using the amazing Keras deep learning library (Chollet et al. 2015) with the TensorFlow (Abadi et al. 2016) backend and conduct all experiments on NVIDIA GeForce GTX 1080 Ti with 11 GB of memory. A single experiment takes 2 days on average.

4.3 Results

The performances of the proposed models are demonstrated in Table 2.

Obtaining CAMs with Model A is pretty straightforward, since it is equipped with GAP and can be done in accordance with (1). As for Model B and Model C, we use the additional operation (9). Similar to the original source (Zhou et al. 2016), we ignore the bias of the softmax, when computing CAMs, as it has little to no impact on the classification accuracy as shown in the rightmost column in Table 2. After that, we upsample the obtained 6×6 maps to the input image size using bilinear upsampling and plot them together with the input to visualize its most relevant regions. The CAMs produced by means of each model are shown in Fig. 4. The first two rows display one of the most confusing handwritten

 Table 2
 Comparison of the proposed networks' performance

Model	Parameters	Accuracy (%)	Accuracy drop (%)
A	6,507,691	97.38	0.00045
В	6,508,139	97.55	0.00045
С	6,523,819	97.61	0.00045

The last column shows the absolute difference between a trained model accuracy and its accuracy when the input bias term for the softmax layer is reset to 0



Fig. 3 Model C top-k classification performance on the ICDAR-2013 competition dataset

Chinese character pairs—"己" (yi) and "己" (ji). The last two rows show the most distinctive parts of "鲍" (bao) and "吗" (ma).

4.4 Comparison of the proposed models

Model C utilizing GWAP outperforms the other two. Compared to the baseline model (Model A), its number of parameters is bigger only by less than 0.25%, while it results in a relative performance gain of 0.24%. The top-*k* accuracies of Model C are demonstrated in Fig. 3. It takes 4.15 ms averagely to classify a character image on the GPU.

Despite the fact that GWOAP has 36 times fewer parameters than GWAP, Model B is outperformed by Model C with a rather small margin. Nevertheless, as the result suggests, the more attention parameters for global spatial averaging at the end of the network we use, the better it performs on the unseen data.

It is very noticeable that not only do Model B and Model C yield the best classification performance, but also by using them, we obtain a comparatively more accurate mechanism for visualization as demonstrated in Fig. 4.

4.5 Comparison with other methods

The comparison of the ICDAR-2013 offline HCCR competition methods is shown in Table 3.

Two of the proposed models, namely Model B and Model C, show a competition with the state-of-the-art single-CNN method (Xiao et al. 2017) classification performance, while it has the same computational cost of 1.2GFLOPs (multiply-

Author's personal copy

P. Melnyk et al.



Table 3	Comparison of	of the ICD	AR-2013	offline HO	CCR com	petition method	s

Method	Size (MB)	Accuracy (%)	Ensemble	Raw data	Ref.
Human-level performance	n/a	96.13	n/a	n/a	Yin et al. (2013)
HCCR-Gabor-GoogLeNet	27.7	96.35	No	Yes	Zhong et al. (2015)
HCCR-GoogLeNet-Ensemble-10	270.0	96.74	Yes (10)	Yes	
Residual-34	92.2	97.36	No	Yes	Zhong et al. (2016)
STN-Residual-34	92.3	97.37	No	Yes	
DCNN-Similarity ranking	36.2	97.07	No	Yes	Cheng et al. (2016)
Ensemble DCNN-Similarity ranking	144.8	97.64	Yes (4)	Yes	
DirectMap + ConvNet	23.5	96.95	No	No	
DirectMap + ConvNet + Ensemble-3	70.5	97.12	Yes (3)	No	Zhang et al. (2017)
DirectMap + ConvNet + Adaptation	23.5	97.37	No	No	
M-RBC + IR	n/a	97.37	No	Yes	Yang et al. (2017)
HCCR-CNN9Layer+GSLRE 4X +ADW	2.3	97.09	No	Yes	
HCCR-CNN12Layer+GSLRE 4X+ADW	3.0	97.40	No	Yes	Xiao et al. (2017)
HCCR-CNN12Layer	48.7	97.59	No	Yes	
Cascaded model (quantization)	3.3	97.11	No	Yes	Li et al. (2018)
Cascaded model	20.4	97.14	No	Yes	
AFL	18.2	98.29	No	Yes	Zhang et al. (2018)
Model A	24.8	97.38	No	Yes	
Model B	24.8	97.55	No	Yes	Ours
Melnyk-Net (Model C)	24.9	97.61	No	Yes	

"Raw data" shows whether manually preprocessed or raw input images are used. All displayed methods except for human-level performance include CASIA HWDB1.0-1.1 in training datasets. Bold indicates the best model

accumulations) and is almost twice as small in size. But we do not use a fully connected layer before the classification layer, because it would not allow us to compute CAMs.

Remarkably, even our baseline network (Model A) of 15 layers of depth outperforms some recent approaches with residual learning (Zhong et al. 2016; Yang et al. 2017). Even though the model proposed by Zhong et al. (2016) uses the spatial transformation of the input images at the network level. It is also worth mentioning that similar to our work, the method described by Yang et al. (2017) allows visualizing distinctive regions of input character images. However, it utilizes a multi-scale residual block cascade that learns a hierarchy of visual features from the input for iterative refinement of the predictions.

As for the cascaded model (Li et al. 2018) which uses GWAP, it is built with the efficiency criteria in mind in order to balance the accuracy, speed and number of parameters and is not aimed to perform the visualization as we do.

Unlike Cheng et al. (2016), we do not use data augmentation to generate more samples. All our networks outperform their deep CNN with large margins requiring a 31.5% smaller storage. However, the ensemble of four such CNNs achieves a 0.03% relatively higher accuracy being almost 6 times bigger in size compared to the proposed Model C. It is included in our comparison to show a complete picture of the competition. The AFL method (Zhang et al. 2018) that achieves the state-of-the-art result in offline HCCR competition is hard to compare to our work since their model involves a discriminator guiding the feature extractor to learn the prior knowledge of standard printed characters. On the contrary, we use only handwritten data for training. Additionally, the feature extractor in their network is followed by a fully connected layer, which does not allow to utilize the visualization method exploited in our work.

4.6 Advantages and limitations

Model C performing the best among the proposed three networks is called Melnyk-Net. The main advantages of Melnyk-Net are its high recognition performance and size. Even without applying novel comprising techniques, it has almost twice as few parameters and the same computational cost compared to the previous state-of-the-art single-CNN method trained only on handwritten character samples. Moreover, our model is equipped with GWAP which allows us to perform class activation mapping in order to indicate the most relevant input character image regions. Thus, we make a step toward interpreting the CNN built for character recognition. However, the assessment of CAMs in terms of offline HCCR is very subjective since there is no numerical measure for this unlike the object localization task (e.g., the Jaccard index).

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we propose a high-performance CNN for offline HCCR called Melnyk-Net. To the best of our knowledge, it yields state-of-the-art accuracy for single-network methods trained only on handwritten data. Compared to the previous state-of-the-art model, Melnyk-Net is 0.02% more accurate, while having the same computational cost and requiring almost twice as small storage. We accomplish this by exploiting convolutional layers with bottlenecks and the variation of the global averaging operation. Importantly, Melnyk-Net being 15 layers deep and having no residual connections outperforms recent ResNet-based methods. Moreover, we show how utilizing GAP and its modifications including the proposed GWOAP enables calculating CAMs in order to perform visualization of the most distinctive regions of an input character image. It improves the network interpretability and can serve as a good tool for classification error analysis in such a large-scale recognition problem as offline HCCR.

In future work, modern comprising methods can be used to reduce the model size and speed up the computational process. Adding printed data to the training set in conjunction with new samples, e.g., generated by generative adversarial networks (GANs), may be a promising way to further boost the performance. Also, the visualization obtained by means of CAMs can be exploited in order to learn from and consequently refine the prediction for misclassified samples. In addition, although increasing the number of character classes may cause classification performance loss, it will broaden the applicability of the offline HCCR model. Finally, the newly developed ODE networks must be an advantageous choice for developing much more efficient solutions for the HCCR task.

Acknowledgements This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 61472123 and Hunan Provincial Natural Science Foundation under Grant No. 2018JJ2064. We would like to express our gratitude to the China Scholarship Council for giving the first author an opportunity to obtain master's degree at Hunan University under Chinese Government Scholarship.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest All authors declare that they have no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

Abadi M, Barham P, Chen J, Chen Z, Davis A, Dean J, Devin M, Ghemawat S, Irving G, Isard M et al (2016) Tensorflow: a system for large-scale machine learning. OSDI 16:265–283

- Al-Janabi S (2018) Smart system to create an optimal higher education environment using ida and iots. Int J Comput Appl. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/1206212x.2018.1512460
- Al-Janabi S, Abaid Mahdi M (2019) Evaluation prediction techniques to achievement an optimal biomedical analysis. Int J Grid Utility Comput. https://doi.org/10.1504/ijguc.2019.10020511
- Al-Janabi S, Alkaim AF (2019) A nifty collaborative analysis to predicting a novel tool (DRFLLS) for missing values estimation. Soft Comput 1–15
- Al-Janabi S, Salman M, Fanfakh A (2018a) Recommendation system to improve time management for people in education environments. J Eng Appl Sci 13:10182–10193
- Al-Janabi S, Salman MA, Mohammad M (2018b) Multi-level network construction based on intelligent big data analysis. In: International conference on bigdata and smart digital environment. Springer, pp 102–118
- Ali SH (2012) A novel tool (FP-KC) for handle the three main dimensions reduction and association rule mining. In: 2012 6th International conference on sciences of electronics. Technologies of information and telecommunications (SETIT). IEEE, pp 951– 961
- Arqub OA, Mohammed AS, Momani S, Hayat T (2016) Numerical solutions of fuzzy differential equations using reproducing kernel Hilbert space method. Soft Comput 20(8):3283–3302
- Arqub OA, Al-Smadi M, Momani S, Hayat T (2017) Application of reproducing kernel algorithm for solving second-order, two-point fuzzy boundary value problems. Soft Comput 21(23):7191–7206
- Chen TQ, Rubanova Y, Bettencourt J, Duvenaud DK (2018) Neural ordinary differential equations. In: Advances in neural information processing systems, pp 6572–6583
- Cheng C, Zhang XY, Shao XH, Zhou XD (2016) Handwritten Chinese character recognition by joint classification and similarity ranking. In: 2016 15th International conference on frontiers in handwriting recognition (ICFHR). IEEE, pp 507–511

Chollet F et al (2015) Keras. https://keras.io

- Cireşan D, Meier U, Schmidhuber J (2012) Multi-column deep neural networks for image classification. arXiv preprint arXiv:1202.2745
- He K, Zhang X, Ren S, Sun J (2015) Delving deep into rectifiers: surpassing human-level performance on imagenet classification. In: Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision, pp 1026–1034
- He K, Zhang X, Ren S, Sun J (2016) Deep residual learning for image recognition. In: Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pp 770–778
- Ioffe S, Szegedy C (2015) Batch normalization: accelerating deep network training by reducing internal covariate shift. arXiv preprint arXiv:1502.03167
- Kenan K, Ali SH, Patel A (2015) Rapid lossless compression of short text messages. Comput Stand Interfaces 37:53–59. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.csi.2014.05.005. http://www.sciencedirect.com/ science/article/pii/S0920548914000737
- Kimura F, Takashina K, Tsuruoka S, Miyake Y (1987) Modified quadratic discriminant functions and the application to Chinese character recognition. IEEE Trans Pattern Anal Mach Intell 1:149– 153
- Li F, Shen Q, Li Y, Mac Parthaláin N (2016) Handwritten Chinese character recognition using fuzzy image alignment. Soft Comput 20(8):2939–2949
- Li Z, Teng N, Jin M, Lu H (2018) Building efficient CNN architecture for offline handwritten Chinese character recognition. Int J Doc Anal Recognit (IJDAR) 21(4):233–240
- Lin M, Chen Q, Yan S (2013) Network in network. arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.4400
- Liu CL, Yin F, Wang DH, Wang QF (2011) CASIA online and offline Chinese handwriting databases. In: 2011 International conference on document analysis and recognition (ICDAR). IEEE, pp 37–41

- Liu CL, Yin F, Wang DH, Wang QF (2013) Online and offline handwritten Chinese character recognition: benchmarking on new databases. Pattern Recognit 46(1):155–162
- Lu S, Wei X, Lu Y (2015) Cost-sensitive MQDF classifier for handwritten Chinese address recognition. In: 2015 13th International conference on document analysis and recognition (ICDAR). IEEE, pp 76–80
- Qin Z, Yu F, Liu C, Chen X (2018) How convolutional neural networks see the world—a survey of convolutional neural network visualization methods. Math Found Comput 1(2):149–180
- Saravanan B, Mohanraj V, Senthilkumar J (2019) A fuzzy entropy technique for dimensionality reduction in recommender systems using deep learning. Soft Comput 23(8):2575–2583
- Silver D, Huang A, Maddison CJ, Guez A, Sifre L, van den Driessche G, Schrittwieser J, Antonoglou I, Panneershelvam V, Lanctot M, Dieleman S, Grewe D, Nham J, Kalchbrenner N, Sutskever I, Lillicrap T, Leach M, Kavukcuoglu K, Graepel T, Hassabis D (2016) Mastering the game of Go with deep neural networks and tree search. Nature 529:484–503
- Srivastava N, Hinton G, Krizhevsky A, Sutskever I, Salakhutdinov R (2014) Dropout: a simple way to prevent neural networks from overfitting. J Mach Learn Res 15(1):1929–1958
- Xiao X, Jin L, Yang Y, Yang W, Sun J, Chang T (2017) Building fast and compact convolutional neural networks for offline handwritten Chinese character recognition. Pattern Recognit 72:72–81
- Yang X, He D, Zhou Z, Kifer D, Giles CL (2017) Improving offline handwritten Chinese character recognition by iterative refinement. In: 2017 14th IAPR international conference on document analysis and recognition (ICDAR). IEEE, pp 5–10

- Yin F, Wang QF, Zhang XY, Liu CL (2013) ICDAR 2013 Chinese handwriting recognition competition. In: 2013 12th International conference on document analysis and recognition (ICDAR). IEEE, pp 1464–1470
- Zhang Y (2015) Deep convolutional network for handwritten Chinese character recognition. Computer Science Department, Stanford University
- Zhang XY, Bengio Y, Liu CL (2017) Online and offline handwritten Chinese character recognition: a comprehensive study and new benchmark. Pattern Recognit 61:348–360
- Zhang Y, Liang S, Nie S, Liu W, Peng S (2018) Robust offline handwritten character recognition through exploring writer-independent features under the guidance of printed data. Pattern Recognit Lett 106:20–26
- Zhong Z, Jin L, Xie Z (2015) High performance offline handwritten Chinese character recognition using googlenet and directional feature maps. In: 2015 13th International conference on document analysis and recognition (ICDAR). IEEE, pp 846–850
- Zhong Z, Zhang XY, Yin F, Liu CL (2016) Handwritten Chinese character recognition with spatial transformer and deep residual networks. In: 2016 23rd International conference on pattern recognition (ICPR). IEEE, pp 3440–3445
- Zhou B, Khosla A, Lapedriza A, Oliva A, Torralba A (2016) Learning deep features for discriminative localization. In: Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pp 2921–2929

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.